

EYE 129, The Chicken Course
Campus Chickens Group Project

	EXEMPLARY/GOOD	SATISFACTORY	MARGINAL/FAILING
GROUP PROCESS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -All group members involved -Group members worked together, talked & shared info throughout so that final products were fully joint effort -Group used fair & effective work strategy for dividing work -Collaborated with other teams, as needed 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Group members worked together some of the time and shared information -Work tasks were divided, and jobs got done so that final product reflects some cooperation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Not all group members involved -Group members worked mostly alone, with little communication or shared information -Work tasks were divided but not all members completed their tasks so that final product is incomplete -Did not collaborate with other teams
RESEARCH	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Key sources of information are specified & documented -Sources are appropriate -Sources are consistently used carefully & accurately 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Some sources not clear -Sources mostly used carefully -Sources may be questionable 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Sources of information not documented -Sources of info are inappropriate -Sources not used carefully & accurately
RECOMMENDATIONS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Recommendation(s) is/are clear -Rec clearly articulates impt considerations & dilemmas -Reasoning is clearly articulated -No critical factors missing -Various options have been carefully considered -Rec is viable, considers context 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Recommendation is clear, though reasoning may not be fully articulated -Reasoning may not identify key considerations or factors -Rec is viable, considers context 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Recommendation is not clear -Rec does not clearly reflect consideration of multiple options & considerations -Reasoning not clear -Missing key factors -Rec not viable, does not consider context
POWERPOINT & PRESENTATION	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Contains 8-10 slides -Slides are well constructed (balance of graphics & text) & well organized -Addresses all key questions -Identifies sources of information & research process -Offers clear recommendations -Presentation clearly & effectively narrated 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Contains too many/too few slides -One or more slides may be ineffective -Information was somewhat difficult to follow; “jumped” from topic to topic -Addresses most key questions -Sources of information not always clear -Recommendations and/or reasoning not entirely clear -Narration was choppy; presenter needed prompting, assistance 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Contains <6 slides -Slides not carefully prepared -Several ineffective slides -No apparent sequence or order to presentation -Did not address several key Q -No clear recommendations -No sources identified -Presenter was not comfortable with the presentation or did not demonstrate thorough knowledge of the group’s work and recommendations